Case 5:12-cr-00298-RMW Document 6 Filed 04/26/12 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number 12CR00298RMW
v. <u>JULIAN CHEGUE-LUNA</u> , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION FENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 was present, represented by his attorney <u>Varell Fuller</u> AFPD. The Meredith Edwards	2(f), a detention hearing was held on April 26, 2012. Defendant e United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described i of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on reliperiod of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition of any other person and the community.	on or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	,
A for which a maximum term of imprison 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et se	ment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firear	rm during the commission Fa cony
	on or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the com	munity. ΔPR 2 6 2012
No presumption applies.	RICHARD W. WIEKING
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient of the section will be analyzed detailed.	CLEDS 1) & DISTRICT COURT
therefore will be ordered detained.	SAN JOSE
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to re	but the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAP.	
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communi	_
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF RE	
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out i	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived	written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney G corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons aw	
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private of	
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the	
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearar	
Dated: 4/24/12	Home
	PD P II OVD
HOWARD R. ILLOYD United States Magistrate-Judge	

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____